To: metzger.philip@epa.gov;gorke.roger@epa.gov[]; orke.roger@epa.gov[]

Cc: []
Bcc: []

From: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Sun 7/18/2010 1:04:26 AM

Subject: FW: Alternatives and the Future of the Delta | Barry Nelson's Blog | Switchboard, from

NRDC

http://switchboard.nrdc.org/blogs/bnelson/alternatives and the future of

This is FYI only. The "project purpose" of full contract deliveries (that is, an increase in exports of more than 1 maf) shows up in the oddest places.

-----Forwarded by Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US on 07/17/2010 06:02PM -----

To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

From: "Nelson, Barry" < bnelson@nrdc.org>

Date: 07/17/2010 10:08AM

Subject: FW: Alternatives and the Future of the Delta | Barry Nelson's Blog | Switchboard, from NRDC

FYI.

В

----Original Message-----From: Nelson, Barry

Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2010 10:08 AM

To: Nawi, David; 'Belin, Letty'

Subject: Alternatives and the Future of the Delta | Barry Nelson's Blog

| Switchboard, from NRDC

http://switchboard.nrdc.org/blogs/bnelson/alternatives_and_the_future_of .html

I thought you two might be interested in this blog post about BDCP alternatives. Kate and I are each preparing posts for early next week specifically about the BDCP project purpose. I don't know if she has pointed this out to you, but DWR and the contractors are arguing before Judge Wanger that the RPAs required by FWS must be consistent with the project purpose. The implications for BDCP and the current project purpose are obvious. Of course, none of us know with certainty how this claim will be resolved. Until it is resolved, this is another clear concern with the current project purpose. We certainly don't want to knowingly set up a potential future legal claim against the fisheries agencies.

FYI, the SWRCB draft flows criteria should be released early next week. Lester isn't happy with those draft numbers, but isn't trying to slow down their release.

Let's talk soon.

Barry